# Recent results on the regularity of maximal functions

Julian Weigt

Virginia Tech Analysis and Math Physics Seminar

05.02.2025

For  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is defined by

$$\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{c}}f(x) = \sup_{r>0} f_{B(x,r)} \quad \text{with} \qquad f_{B(x,r)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} |f|.$$

Theorem (Hardy-Littlewood maximal function theorem)

$$\|\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{c}} f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim_{n,p} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

if and only if p > 1.

 $\|\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{c}}f\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_n \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ 

## Background

## Theorem (Juha Kinnunen (1997))

For p > 1 we have

$$\|
abla \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{c}} f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim_{n,p} \|
abla f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

**Proof:** For  $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by the sublinearity of  $M^c$ 

$$egin{aligned} \partial_e \mathrm{M}^\mathrm{c} f(x) &\sim rac{\mathrm{M}^\mathrm{c} f(x+he) - \mathrm{M}^\mathrm{c} f(x)}{h} \ &\leq rac{\mathrm{M}^\mathrm{c} (f(\cdot+he) - f)(x)}{h} \ &= \mathrm{M}^\mathrm{c} \Big( rac{f(\cdot+he) - f)}{h} \Big)(x) \sim \mathrm{M}^\mathrm{c} (\partial_e f)(x) \end{aligned}$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function theorem for p > 1

 $\|\nabla \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{c}} f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq \|\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{c}}(|\nabla f|)\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim_{n,p} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$ 

Question (Hajłasz and Onninen 2004)

Is it true that

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{c}} f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim_{n} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}?$$

Uncentered Hardy-Littlewood maximal function

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}f(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} f_B.$$

Endpoint question by Hałjasz and Onninen is interesting for  $\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}$  and other maximal operators.

Theorem (Tanaka 2002, Aldaz and Pérez Lázaro 2007)

For  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  we have

 $\|\nabla \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \boldsymbol{f}\|_1 \leq \|\nabla \boldsymbol{f}\|_1$ 

## Proof:

• In one dimension

$$\|\nabla f\|_1 = \sup_{x_1 < x_2 < ...} \sum_i |f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)| = \operatorname{var} f.$$

- For almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ :  $\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}f(x) \geq f(x)$
- and  $\widetilde{M}f(x) = f(x)$  at a strict local maximum of Mf.



$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{var}_{[x_0, x_2]} \widetilde{\mathrm{M}} f &= |\widetilde{\mathrm{M}} f(x_1) - \widetilde{\mathrm{M}} f(x_0)| + |\widetilde{\mathrm{M}} f(x_2) - \widetilde{\mathrm{M}} f(x_1)| \\ &\leq |f(x_1) - f(x_0)| + |f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \\ &\leq \mathsf{var}_{[x_0, x_2]} f \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Kurka 2015)

For  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  we have

 $\|\nabla \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{c}}\boldsymbol{f}\|_{1} \leq C \|\nabla \boldsymbol{f}\|_{1}.$ 

C = 1? Yes, for  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $f = 1_E$  (Bilz and W. 2022).

## Theorem (Luiro 2018)

For  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  radial we have

 $\|\nabla \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \boldsymbol{f}\|_1 \leq C \|\nabla \boldsymbol{f}\|_1.$ 

Theorem (Aldaz+Pérez Lázaro 2009)

For  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  block-decreasing we have

 $\|\nabla \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \boldsymbol{f}\|_1 \leq C \|\nabla \boldsymbol{f}\|_1.$ 

For 0  $< \alpha < \mathit{n}$  the centered fractional Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is

$$\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{c}}_{\alpha}f(x) = \sup_{r>0} r^{\alpha}f_{B(x,r)}.$$

Corresponding Hardy-Littlewood theorem

$$\|\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p_{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim_{n,\alpha,p} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

with  $p_{\alpha} = \frac{pn}{n-\alpha p} > p$  if and only if p > 1. Corresponding regularity bound

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{M}_{\alpha} f\|_{L^{p_{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim_{n,\alpha,p} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})},$$

proven for p > 1.

Theorem (Kinnunen and Saksman 2003)

For  $\alpha \geq 1$ 

$$|\nabla \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{c}}_{\alpha} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})| \lesssim_{n} |\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{c}}_{\alpha-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})|.$$

#### Corollary (Carneiro and Madrid 2016)

For  $\alpha \ge 1$  we have  $1_{\alpha} = \frac{n}{n-\alpha} = \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)_{\alpha-1}$  and  $\frac{n}{n-1} > 1$  and therefore

$$\begin{split} \|\nabla \mathbf{M}_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{c}} f\|_{L^{1_{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} &\lesssim_{n} \|\mathbf{M}_{\alpha-1}^{\mathbf{c}} f\|_{L^{1_{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim_{n} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ &\lesssim_{n} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}. \end{split}$$

Endpoint bound is known for all  $\alpha > 0$  for n = 1, radial f, lacunary and same for  $M^c$  due to [Beltran, Madrid, Luiro, Ramos, Saari 2016-2019].

Theorem (W. 2022)

For  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

# $\|\nabla \widetilde{\mathrm{M}}(1_{\boldsymbol{E}})\|_{1} \leq C \|\nabla 1_{\boldsymbol{E}}\|_{1}.$

Theorem (W. 2023)

For  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  we have

 $\|\nabla \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{f}\|_{1} \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{f}\|_{1}$ 

for the dyadic maximal function

$$\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{d}}f(x) = \sup_{dyadic \ cube \ Q, \ Q \ni x} f_{Q}.$$

#### Theorem (W. 2024)

Combining tools from both leads to the same bound for cube maximal operator given by

$$\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{d}}f(x) = \sup_{cube \ Q, \ Q \ni x} f_{Q}.$$

Proof works for more general sets with a tiling property, but not for balls and certainly not for centered  $M^c$ .

#### Theorem (W. 2022)

The arguments for the dyadic maximal operator can be used also for the fractional maximal operators  $\widetilde{M}_{\alpha}, M_{\alpha}^{c}$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ .

#### Coarea formula

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda$$

Superlevel sets

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathrm{M}f(x) > \lambda\} = \bigcup\{B : f_B > \lambda\}$$

for *uncentered* maximal operators.

Relative isoperimetric inequality

$$\min\{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}\cap \mathbf{E}), \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Q}\setminus \mathbf{E})\}^{n-1} \lesssim_n \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathbf{Q}\cap \partial \mathbf{E})^n.$$

## Example: dyadic, characteristic, low level

Consider  $f = 1_E$  and  $M = M^d$  and let  $0 < \lambda < 2^{-n-1}$ . Let Q be a maximal dyadic cube with  $f_Q > \lambda$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{E} \cap \boldsymbol{Q})/\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{Q}) = \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \in (\lambda, 2^n \lambda] \subset (0, 1/2],$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial \mathcal{Q}) \sim (\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{Q})/\lambda)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n-1}{n}} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathcal{Q} \cap \partial \mathcal{E}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial \{ \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{d}} f > \lambda \}) &= \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Big( \partial \bigcup \{ \text{maximal } \mathbf{Q} : f_{\mathbf{Q}} > \lambda \} \Big) \\ &\leq \sum_{\text{maximal } \mathbf{Q} : f_{\mathbf{Q}} > \lambda} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial \mathbf{Q}) \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n}{n-1}} \sum_{\text{maximal } \mathbf{Q} : f_{\mathbf{Q}} > \lambda} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathbf{Q} \cap \partial E) \\ &\leq \lambda^{-\frac{n}{n-1}} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial E) = \lambda^{-\frac{n}{n-1}} \operatorname{var}(f). \end{aligned}$$

# **Proof ingredients**

- **1** relative isoperimetric inequality
- **② Vitaly covering** and similar: general balls  $\rightarrow$  separated balls
- **O Vitaly covering for boundary**
- Superlevelset estimate: f < 0 on most of B ⇒ most mass of f lies far above f<sub>B</sub>

used in proof	isoperimetric, Vitali	boundary Vitaly	superlevel
dyadic char. f.	x		
char. f.	x	x	
dyadic	x		x
fractional	x		x
cube	x	x	x

 $\|\nabla \mathbf{M}_{\varphi} \mathbf{f}\|_{1} \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{f}\|_{1}$ 

if  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \to (0,\infty)$  is associated to a PDE [Carneiro, Finder, Sousa and Svaiter 2013,2018]

- Oiscrete f : Z → R mostly mirrors continuous setting but not entirely. Also f : G → R on a graph. [Bober, Carneiro, Gonzalez-Riquelme, Hughes, Madrid, Pierce,...]
- on Hardy-Sobolev space [Pérez, Picon, Saari, Sousa 2018]

## Variants

- Ocal maximal functions on domains Ω ⊂ ℝ<sup>n</sup> that average only over balls B ⊂ Ω: Many questions remain open for the local fractional maximal function since it prefers to average over large balls. [Heikkinen, Kinnunen, Korvenpää, Lindqvist, Raamos, Saari, Tuominen, W.,...]
- fractional smoothing

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{M}_{\alpha} \mathbf{f}\|_{\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}} \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\frac{pn}{n-p}},$$

known to hold or fail in some cases and open in others.

Iocal regularity: For f ∈ BV(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) is ∇Mf ∈ L<sup>1</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>) or only a Radon measure? some cases known, some open [Gonzalez-Riquelme 2022, Lahti 2021]

Stronger property than boundedness:

Operator continuity of Mf close to  $g \Rightarrow Mf$  close to Mg ?

By sublinearity

$$Mf(x) - Mg(x) \le M(f - g)(x) + Mg(x) - Mg(x)$$

and thus

 $\|\mathrm{M}f-\mathrm{M}g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\leq \|\mathrm{M}(f-g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim_{n,p}\|f-g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$ 

However,

$$|\nabla Mf(x) - \nabla Mg(x)| \leq |\nabla M(f-g)(x)|.$$

Nevertheless, [Luiro 2004] proved for p > 1 that

$$\|f_n - f\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \to 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \|\nabla \mathrm{M} f_n - \nabla \mathrm{M} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \to 0.$$

Operator continuity is known in many of the cases of boundedness due to many results by [Beltran, Carneiro, González-Riquelme, Madrid, Pierce,... 2013–], but not all cases.

# Higher derivatives

What about  $(\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}f)''$ ?

Typically,  $(\widetilde{\mathrm{M}} f)'' \notin L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , similarly to how  $|f|'' \notin L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .



And if we relax to  $var((\widetilde{M}f)')$ ? For  $f \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R})$  it is easy to see that  $var(|f|') \leq 2var(f')$ .

#### Theorem (Temur 2022)

If 
$$f:\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{R}$$
 is of the form  $f=1_{\textit{E}}$  we have

$$\|(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}\boldsymbol{f})''\|_1 \leq C \|\boldsymbol{f}''\|_1.$$

#### Theorem (W. 2024)

If  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is radially decreasing and symmetric then

 $\operatorname{var}((\widetilde{\mathrm{M}}f)') \leq C \operatorname{var}(f').$ 

#### Theorem (W. 2024)

There exist radially decreasing  $f_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is with

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\operatorname{var}((\widetilde{\operatorname{M}} f_k)')}{\operatorname{var}(f'_k)}=\infty.$$

M<sup>c</sup>? Fractional derivatives? Best constants?

Thank you